

Pupil Premium Impact Report 2017/18

Categories	
Nursery (EYFS PP)	2
Reception	3
Number in Key Stage 1	9
Number in Key Stage 2	18
Looked After Children	3
Services	1
Total number of children currently eligible for Pupil Premium funding (or who have been on the register during the past 6 years)	30
Total Pupil Premium + Early Years PP	32
Total funding	£50,180

Main Barriers to Educational Achievement

In addition to being financially disadvantaged, many of our children who qualify for Pupil Premium funding have other barriers to learning. 26 are on the SEND register at School Support Level, which means they have had input from outside agencies to support their learning. 8 are looked after children who have emotional and social issues. 18 of our Pupil Premium children come from single parent or split families. The relatively newness of this situation does impact on their readiness to learn and generally means that extra academic and pastoral support is required in order for them to access the curriculum at the same level as their peers.

Action	Rationale in relation to	Cost	Planned Impact
	barriers		
Disadvantaged families	Talking to disadvantaged	£1,000	Disadvantaged pupils continue to have
are supported financially	parents has informed us		improved access to wider enriching
by being offered the	that they find it difficult		experiences, promoting positive attitudes
following discounts	to contribute towards		to learning and school in general.
- A 50% reduction in the	the cost of trips and		
cost of all school trips.	visits.		The impact of this action will be measured
- A 50% reduction in the			by analysing participation in extra-
cost of school residential	Analysis of uptake of		curricular activities.
trips.	extra-curricular activities		
- One free after school	has shown that fewer		
club (for a half term) per	disadvantaged children		
year	participate in these		
	activities than their non-		
	disadvantaged peers.		

END OF YEAR IMPACT:

All Pupil Premium (PP) families were offered trips at the discounted rates and all families made use of the offer. No family opted out of sending their child on a trip due to affordability.

Access to after school clubs for PP children was not made free but discounted across the board so that there was wider all year round choice. The number of PP children that attended at least one club a year increased from 53% in 2017 to 80% in 2018.

Provision mapping for	Experience has shown us	£36,600	Through a rigorous programme of adaptive
increased general TA	that high quality TA		teaching, which includes pre-assessment,



support to run specific	support in each class,		gap analysis and pre-teaching,
focus groups in English	when deployed		disadvantaged children are able to access
and Maths, which	effectively, has a positive		the Quality First Teaching in their
support quality first	impact on the progress of		respective classes and make accelerated
teaching, thorough gap	disadvantaged pupils as		progress. Ultimately, this should close the
analysis and pre-	well as other vulnerable		attainment gap over time.
teaching.	groups.		
END OF YEAR IMPACT:			•
at the end of key stage and fo The performance of pupils ac	or the Y1 Phonics Screening proce	ess. In year potents	able. Progress has been reported across the schoo progress for non SATs year groups is also provided y on interventions and supporting quality first disadvantaged students.
New staff to receive	To enable positive,	£800	Most class teachers will be able to
training on British Sign	trusting relationships to		communicate effectively with Hearing
Language	be established between		Impaired children in their classroom.
0 0	staff and Hearing		Supporting them pastorally and
	Impaired pupils.		academically.
END OF YEAR IMPACT:	1 1 2	I.	•
classrooms. Foundation Stage and Key Stage 1 staff to attend Pie Corbett	To develop in children a love of storytelling and writing. To expose children to rich diet of	£600	Children will be able to retell a number of age appropriate stories they have learnt and acted out. They will also be able to
	I children to rich diet of		write their own invented version.
training on developing			
training on developing writing.	language that is not		
	language that is not within their daily		
	language that is not		
writing. END OF YEAR IMPACT: The staff attended the training the stories and found to the stories and found the stories are stories and found the stories and found the stories and found the stories and found the stories are stories and found the stories and found the stories are stories and found the stories and found the stories are stories are stories and found the stories are stories are stories and found the stories are stories ar	language that is not within their daily conversational language. ag and found the impact in the clad the opportunity to innovate the	text extren	early evident. The children loved learning and mely rewarding. In Reception the percentage of warked increase from 12% in 2016 and 21.9% in
END OF YEAR IMPACT: The staff attended the training retelling the stories and found children achieving the Exceed	language that is not within their daily conversational language. ag and found the impact in the clad the opportunity to innovate the	text extren	mely rewarding. In Reception the percentage of parked increase from 12% in 2016 and 21.9% in
writing. END OF YEAR IMPACT: The staff attended the training retelling the stories and found children achieving the Exceed 2017.	language that is not within their daily conversational language. ag and found the impact in the clad the opportunity to innovate the ling Standard was 34.5% in 2018	text extren This is a m	mely rewarding. In Reception the percentage of parked increase from 12% in 2016 and 21.9% in
END OF YEAR IMPACT: The staff attended the training retelling the stories and found children achieving the Exceed 2017. Buy back intervention from Speech and	language that is not within their daily conversational language. ag and found the impact in the clad the opportunity to innovate the ling Standard was 34.5% in 2018 To support the language	text extren This is a m	mely rewarding. In Reception the percentage of parked increase from 12% in 2016 and 21.9% in To promote greater engagement with pee
END OF YEAR IMPACT: The staff attended the training retelling the stories and found children achieving the Exceed 2017. Buy back intervention from Speech and	language that is not within their daily conversational language. If and found the impact in the clied the opportunity to innovate the ling Standard was 34.5% in 2018 To support the language of those children	text extren This is a m	mely rewarding. In Reception the percentage of parked increase from 12% in 2016 and 21.9% in To promote greater engagement with pee
END OF YEAR IMPACT: The staff attended the training retelling the stories and found children achieving the Exceed 2017. Buy back intervention from Speech and	language that is not within their daily conversational language. If and found the impact in the classification of the opportunity to innovate the ling Standard was 34.5% in 2018 To support the language of those children struggling to speak	text extren This is a m	mely rewarding. In Reception the percentage of parked increase from 12% in 2016 and 21.9% in To promote greater engagement with pee
END OF YEAR IMPACT: The staff attended the training retelling the stories and found children achieving the Exceed 2017. Buy back intervention from Speech and Language END OF YEAR IMPACT: All children in need of this sup	language that is not within their daily conversational language. If and found the impact in the clied the opportunity to innovate the ling Standard was 34.5% in 2018 To support the language of those children struggling to speak coherently and articulate their thoughts.	text extren This is a m	mely rewarding. In Reception the percentage of parked increase from 12% in 2016 and 21.9% in To promote greater engagement with pee
END OF YEAR IMPACT: The staff attended the training the stories and found children achieving the Exceed 2017. Buy back intervention from Speech and Language END OF YEAR IMPACT: All children in need of this suggesconsolidation activities at hor	language that is not within their daily conversational language. If and found the impact in the clied the opportunity to innovate the ling Standard was 34.5% in 2018 To support the language of those children struggling to speak coherently and articulate their thoughts.	text extren This is a m	mely rewarding. In Reception the percentage of parked increase from 12% in 2016 and 21.9% in To promote greater engagement with percentage of and adults.
END OF YEAR IMPACT: The staff attended the training retelling the stories and found children achieving the Exceed 2017. Buy back intervention from Speech and Language END OF YEAR IMPACT: All children in need of this supposession activities at hor inference Reading	language that is not within their daily conversational language. In any and found the impact in the classification of the opportunity to innovate the ling Standard was 34.5% in 2018 To support the language of those children struggling to speak coherently and articulate their thoughts.	text extren This is a m £4,000	mely rewarding. In Reception the percentage of parked increase from 12% in 2016 and 21.9% in To promote greater engagement with percentage of and adults.
END OF YEAR IMPACT: The staff attended the training retelling the stories and found children achieving the Exceed 2017. Buy back intervention from Speech and Language END OF YEAR IMPACT: All children in need of this suppossibility of the sup	language that is not within their daily conversational language. If and found the impact in the classification of the opportunity to innovate the ling Standard was 34.5% in 2018 To support the language of those children struggling to speak coherently and articulate their thoughts. If provide additional	text extren This is a m £4,000	mely rewarding. In Reception the percentage of parked increase from 12% in 2016 and 21.9% in To promote greater engagement with peer and adults.
END OF YEAR IMPACT: The staff attended the training retelling the stories and found children achieving the Exceed 2017. Buy back intervention from Speech and Language END OF YEAR IMPACT: All children in need of this supposes of the suppo	language that is not within their daily conversational language. If and found the impact in the classification of the opportunity to innovate the ling Standard was 34.5% in 2018 To support the language of those children struggling to speak coherently and articulate their thoughts. If opport have had the appropriate the or in school. To provide additional reading support for	text extren This is a m £4,000	mely rewarding. In Reception the percentage of parked increase from 12% in 2016 and 21.9% in To promote greater engagement with peer and adults.
END OF YEAR IMPACT: The staff attended the training retelling the stories and found children achieving the Exceed 2017. Buy back intervention from Speech and Language END OF YEAR IMPACT: All children in need of this supposes of the suppo	language that is not within their daily conversational language. If and found the impact in the clip of the opportunity to innovate the ling Standard was 34.5% in 2018 To support the language of those children struggling to speak coherently and articulate their thoughts. If opport have had the appropriate the or in school. To provide additional reading support for children who need to make accelerated	text extren This is a m £4,000	mely rewarding. In Reception the percentage of parked increase from 12% in 2016 and 21.9% in To promote greater engagement with percentage of and adults.
END OF YEAR IMPACT: The staff attended the training retelling the stories and found children achieving the Exceed 2017. Buy back intervention from Speech and Language END OF YEAR IMPACT: All children in need of this supposes consolidation activities at hor Inference Reading Intervention before school (12wk programme) END OF YEAR IMPACT: All children made accelerated standard in the SATs reading Child C improved his reading child C improved his reading consolidation activities.	language that is not within their daily conversational language. If and found the impact in the classified the opportunity to innovate the ling Standard was 34.5% in 2018 To support the language of those children struggling to speak coherently and articulate their thoughts. If provide additional reading support for children who need to make accelerated progress.	£4,000 £4,000 argeted sup £200 eading age ing age from	To promote greater engagement with pee and adults. To develop inference for reading skills. from 6.8 to 9.4 in May and achieved the expected m 7.10 to 9.1. Child B was not in a SATs year group in a sarked increase from 12% in 2016 and 21.9% in To promote greater engagement with pee and adults.
END OF YEAR IMPACT: The staff attended the training retelling the stories and found children achieving the Exceed 2017. Buy back intervention from Speech and Language END OF YEAR IMPACT: All children in need of this supposes of the state of the supposes of the state of the state of the supposes of the state of the supposes of the state of t	language that is not within their daily conversational language. If and found the impact in the classified the opportunity to innovate the ling Standard was 34.5% in 2018 To support the language of those children struggling to speak coherently and articulate their thoughts. If provide additional reading support for children who need to make accelerated progress. If progress. Child A improved his reading reading support his reading support.	£4,000 £4,000 argeted sup £200 eading age ing age from	To promote greater engagement with per and adults. To develop inference for reading skills. from 6.8 to 9.4 in May and achieved the expected m 7.10 to 9.1. Child B was not in a SATs year grou
END OF YEAR IMPACT: The staff attended the training retelling the stories and found children achieving the Exceed 2017. Buy back intervention from Speech and Language END OF YEAR IMPACT: All children in need of this supposes consolidation activities at hor Inference Reading Intervention before school (12wk programme) END OF YEAR IMPACT: All children made accelerated standard in the SATs reading	language that is not within their daily conversational language. If and found the impact in the classification of the opportunity to innovate the ling Standard was 34.5% in 2018 To support the language of those children struggling to speak coherently and articulate their thoughts. If provide additional reading support for children who need to make accelerated progress. If progress. Child A improved his reading from 6.8 to 9.4 also in a non-	£4,000 £4,000 argeted sup £200 eading age ing age fror SATS year g	mely rewarding. In Reception the percentage of parked increase from 12% in 2016 and 21.9% in To promote greater engagement with per and adults. Sport which has been followed through with To develop inference for reading skills. from 6.8 to 9.4 in May and achieved the expected m 7.10 to 9.1. Child B was not in a SATs year grouggroup.



1:1 support for LAC child	To provide emotional	£500	LAC to re-engage with school and the
pm 5x a week	and educational support.		educational provision. To reduce the level
	To enable whole class		of angst and anger he feels. To be able to
	learning to take place.		work to the level of his ability.

END OF YEAR IMPACT:

Without the 1:1 support it would have been impossible for the child to have remained in school. The support allowed for the child to feel supported and reassured.

Impact of Expenditure 17-18

RECEPTION

2018 EARLY YEARS FOUNDATION STAGE PROFILE COHORT PROFILE	Total pupils Counted	Listening & Attention Expected+	Understanding Expected+	Speaking Expected+	Moving & Handling Expected+	Health & Self-Care Expected+	Self-Confidence & Self-Aware Expected+	Managing Feelings & Behaviour Expected+	Making Relationships Expected+	Reading Expected+	Writing Expected+	Numbers Expected+	Shape, Space & Measures Expected+	Good Level of Development (12 AOLs)	People and Communities Expected+	The World Expected+	Technology Expected+	Exploring & Using Media & Mat. Expected+	Being Imaginative Expected+
--	----------------------	------------------------------------	----------------------------	-----------------------	--------------------------------	---------------------------------	---	--	-----------------------------------	----------------------	----------------------	----------------------	--------------------------------------	--	-------------------------------------	------------------------	-------------------------	---	--------------------------------

Pupil Premium Children	DfE Disac	0fE Disadvantaged Pupils (FSM8, Adopted, LAC from previous year) & Service Children																	
Pupil Premium	3	67%	67%	100%	100%	67%	67%	67%	67%	33%	33%	67%	67%	33%	67%	67%	67%	67%	67%

There were 3 Pupil Premium children (child 1, child 2 and child 3). Child 3 achieved a Good level of Development. The other 2 children made good progress from their starting points but there additional needs hampered their accelerated progress.

Name	Baseline	Summer Level	Progress in bands
Masa	R 30-50d	2e	5
	W30-50d	40-60s	4
	N30-50e	2d	7
Zhi Q	R22-36d	30-50s	4
1	W22-36e	30-50s	4
	N22-36d	30-50s	4
Fiona	R30-50d	3e	8
	W30-50d	3e	8
	N30-50s	3e	7



Year 1 Phonics Screening Check

	Pupil total	Absent	Disapplied	No	0-15	16-23	24-31	32-36	37-40 Workin g at WA	%WT	%WA
All pupils	35	0	0		2	4	3	18	8	25.7%	74.3%
Boys	13	0	0		0	2	1	6	4		77%
Girls	22	0	0		2	2	2	12	4		73%
PP	2				0	1	0	1	0		50%
Non PP	33	0	0		2	3	3	17	8		
FSM	1	0	0		0	1	0	0	0		0%
Non FSM	34	0	0		2	3	3	18	8		

Year 2 Phonics Screening Retake

Year 2 Phonics Check			2018
Disapplied	0		
0-10 marks	0		
11-20 marks	0		
21-30 marks	0		
31-40 marks	2		
Working Towards	0		
Working At	2/2	100 %	1 girl 1 boy

Attainment at the end of Key Stage 1

(SATS Tests taken by pupils in Reading, Writing and Mathematics at the end of Year 2).

		REAL	DING					
	Expected Sta	indard or Above		Greater Depth				
	All	Disadvantaged		All	Disadvantaged			
Cohort	28	6		28	6			
School %	78.6	66.7		28.6	16.7			
National %	76	61		26	14.0			
Difference %	<mark>2.6</mark>	<mark>5.7</mark>		<mark>2.6</mark>	<mark>2.7</mark>			

WRITING										
	Expected Stan	dard or Above		Greate	r Depth					
	All	Disadvantaged		All	Disadvantaged					
Cohort	28	6		28	6					



School %	78.6	66.7	17.9	0
National %	70	54	16	7
Difference %	<mark>8.6</mark>	<mark>12.7</mark>	<mark>1.9</mark>	-7

MATHEMATICS						
	Expected Sta	andard or Above		Greater Depth		
	All	Disadvantaged		All	Disadvantaged	
Cohort	28	6		28	6	
School %	78.6	66.7		25	16.7	
National %	76	62.0		22	11.0	
Difference %	<mark>2.6</mark>	<mark>4.7</mark>		<mark>3.0</mark>	<mark>5.7</mark>	

The percentage of children who achieved the expected or greater depth standard in Reading, Writing and Maths exceeded the national expectation.

The percentage of disadvantaged children achieving the expected or greater depth standard was in line with the aspirational individual targets set for pupils AND exceeded the national in all but one area.

Attainment at the end of Key Stage 2

(SATS Tests taken by pupils in Reading, Writing, Maths and Grammar, Punctuation & Spelling).

READING						
	Expected Sta	andard or Above		High		
	All	Disadvantaged		All	Disadvantaged	
Cohort	30	5		30	5	
School %	80	100		30	60	
National %	75	63		28	17	
Difference %	<mark>5</mark>	<mark>37</mark>		<mark>2</mark>	<mark>43</mark>	

The percentage of All Pupils who attained the expected or higher standard in reading was above the National.

WRITING						
	Expected Stan	dard or Above		High		
	All	Disadvantaged		All	Disadvantaged	
Cohort	30	5		30	5	
School %	70	80		16.7	20	
National %	79	67		20	11	
Difference %	-9	<mark>13</mark>		-3.3	9	

The percentage of pupils who attained the expected or higher level in writing was above the National.

MATHEMATICS							
	Expected Stan	dard or Above		High			
	All	Disadvantaged		All	Disadvantaged		
Cohort	30	5		30	5		



School %	83.3	80	20	20
National %	76	63	24	13
Difference %	<mark>7.3</mark>	<mark>17</mark>	-4	7

ENGLISH GRAMMAR, PUNCTUATION AND SPELLING						
	Expected Stan	dard or Above		High		
	All	Disadvantaged		All	Disadvantaged	
Cohort	30	5		30	5	
School %	70	80		33.3	20	
National %	78	65		34	22	
Difference %	-8	<mark>15</mark>		<mark>0.7</mark>	-2.0	

This particular Y6 cohort had a significant proportion of students that were supported by the Sensory Support Service with Special Educational Needs. All of the children have made excellent progress from their starting points but not to the level where they could or were expected to achieve the expected standard in the SATS. If these children were removed from the data then the results would be as follows: Writing 84% 21/25, Reading 92% 23/25, SPAG 84% 21/25 and Maths 96% 24/25.

		%	0/	Readi	ng%	Writir	ıg%	SPA	.G	Math	s%	R,V N	
Year	No.in class	each pupil	% SEN	Expected	Above	Expected	Above	Expected	Above	Expected	Above		
2018	25	4		92	36	84	25	84	40	96	24	76	12

As you can see from the data across the school the performance of disadvantage students compares favourably with the national.

PERFORMANCE IN NON-SATS YEAR GROUPS

Year 1

		Expected or Above		
	All	Disadvantaged		
Cohort	35	2		
Reading	77%	50%		
Writing	71%	50%		
Maths	83%	50%		

Year 3

	Expected or Above		
	All	Disadvantaged	
Cohort	28	2	
Reading	88%	50%	
Writing	81%	50%	
Maths	88%	50%	



Year 4

	Expected or Above		
	All	Disadvantaged	
Cohort	29	5	
Reading	79%	80%	
Writing	72%	20%	
Maths	79%	80%	

Year 5

	Expected or Above		
	All	Disadvantaged	
Cohort	30	6	
Reading	90%	66%	
Writing	80%	50%	
Maths	93.4%	83%	

In summary:

The school is successfully closing the gap between disadvantaged and non-disadvantaged pupils. Where the gap exists it is due to factors such as special educational needs, family circumstances or medical issues impacting on pupils not achieving the expected standard.